ISSN 2278-3091

International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 249 - 253 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

Noise Removing for Enhanced Images using Wavelet Transform

KATHI SYAM DAIVA KRUPA[1], K. SUBBA RAO[2]

1 M.Tech Student, Dept of CSE, St. Ann's College of Engineering Technology, Chirala, PrakasamDist, A.P, India

2 Associate Professor, Dept of CSE, St. Ann's College of Engineering Technology, Chirala, PrakasamDist, A.P, India

ABSTRACT:In this project a method is proposed to address the noise that has been introduced with the image by the image enhancement methods such as random spay. The random spray method does not follow any statistical distribution of the spray, when revered produces image close to original. Taking the advantages of the human visual system, which can not perceive some parts of the images, a different one is made. To avoid the statistical relationships between pixels gray levels, non-enhanced image is considered, which is either noise free or affected by the human visual system. The analysis is done using Transform produced by the Dual Complex Tree Wavelet Transform. This transform results the image in different resolutions, to have analysis. This Transform allows the data directionality in the Transform space. The standard deviation is calculated for each gray level for the non-enhanced image coefficients across different orientations, which is then normalized. The map further is processed to shrink the coefficients. The coefficients that have been shrunk and the coefficients from the non-enhanced image are mixed based on data directionality. This process finally produces a noise reduced version of the image.

Key words: image enhancement, dual tree complex wavelet transform, image noise, image coefficients.

INTRODUCTION:

ALTHOUGH the sector of image improvement has been active since before digitalimagination achieved a shopper status, it's ne'er stopped evolving. The current work introduces a novel multi-resolution denoising methodology, tailored to address a particular image quality downside that arises once using image improvement algorithms supported random spray sampling. Whereas impressed by the peculiar downside of such methods, the projected approach additionally works for alternative image enhancement strategies that either introduce or exacerbate noise. This workbuilds and expands on a previous article by Fierro et al. [1].Random sprays are a two-dimensional assortment of pointswith a given abstraction distribution round the origin. Sprayscan be wont to sample a picture support in situ of alternativetechniques, and are antecedent utilized inworks such as Provenzi et al. [2], [3] and Kolås et al. [4]. Randomsprays are partially impressed by the Human sensory system (HVS). particularly, a random spray isn't dissimilar from the distribution of photograph receptors within the membrane, though the underlying mechanisms areimmensely completely different. Due to the peaked nature of sprays, a standard side effect of image improvement strategies that utilize spray sampling is the introduction of unwanted noise within the output images. The magnitude and applied mathematics characteristics of aforesaid noise aren't legendary a-priori, instead they rely on many factors, like image content, spray properties and formulaparameters.Among image denoising algorithms, multi-resolution strategieshave an extended history. a selected branch is that of reworkspace coefficients shrinkage, i.e. the magnitude reduction of the rework coefficients per bound criteria. Some of the foremost ordinarily used transforms for shrinkage-based noise reduction are the moving ridge rework (WT) [5]–[7], the manageable Pyramid rework [8]–[10], the ContourletTransform [11]–[13] and therefore the Shearlet rework [14]–[16]. With the exception of the WT, all alternative transforms result in over-complete information representations. Over-completeness is associate degreeimportantcharacteristic, because it is typicallyrelated to theability to differentiate information directivity within the rework house.Independently of the particular rework used, the overallassumption in multi-

ISSN 2278-3091
International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 249 - 253 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

resolution shrinkage is that imagedata offers rise to thin coefficients within the rework house.Thus, denoising will be achieved by pressing (shrinking)those coefficients that compromise information scantiness. Suchprocess is typically improved by associate degree elaborate applied mathematics analysisof the dependencies between coefficients at completely differentscales. Yet, whereas effective, ancient multi-resolution strategiesare designed to solely take away one specific style of noise (e.g. mathematician noise). Moreover, solely the input image is assumed to lean. Attributable to the unknown applied mathematics propertiesof the noise introduced by the utilization of sprays, ancientapproaches don't notice the expected conditions, and so theiraction becomes abundant less effective.The projected approach still performs noise reduction viacoefficient shrinkage, nonetheless a component of novelty is introducedin the sort of partial reference pictures. Having a referenceallows the shrinkage method to be data-driven. A strongsource of inspiration were the works on the Dual-tree complicatedWavelet rework by Kingsbury [17], the work on theSteerable Pyramid rework by Simoncelli et al. [8], and thework on moving ridge constant Shrinkage by Donoho and Johnstone[18]. Fig. one depicts the variations between ancientnoise-reduction strategies and therefore the one projected.

DUAL-TREE COMPLEX WAVELET TRANSFORM

The distinct wave remodel (DWT) has been a institutionstone for all applications of digital image processing:from image denoising to pattern recognition, passing throughimage cryptography and additional. whereas being a whole and(quasi-)invertible remodel of second knowledge, the distinct wave transform offers rise to a development called "checkerboard" pattern, which implies that knowledge orientation analysis is not possible. Moreover, the DWT isn't shift-invariant,making it less helpful for ways supported the computationof invariant options.In a trial to unravel these 2 issues touching theDWT, citizen and Adelson initial introduced the conception ofSteerable filters [19], which might be accustomed decompose associate degreeimage into a manageable Pyramid, by means that of the manageablePyramid remodel (SPT) [8]. While, the SPT is associate degreeover-complete illustration of information, it grants the flexibility toappropriately distinguish knowledge orientations likewise as beingshift-invariant. Yet, the SPT isn't barren of problems: inparticular, filter style are often mussy, good reconstructionis not attainable and machine potency are often a priority.Thus, an extra development of the SPT, involving the employmentof a David Hilbert combine of filters to reason the energy response,has been accomplished with the complicated wave remodel(CWT) [20]. equally to the SPT, so as to retain the fullFourier spectrum, the remodel has to be over-complete bya factor of four, i.e. there are three complicated coefficients for every real one. Whereas the CWT is additionally economical, since it is oftencomputed through severable filters, it still lacks theproperReconstruction property.Therefore, Kingsbury additionally introduced the Dual-tree complicatedWavelet remodel (DTCWT),that has the supplementary characteristicof good Reconstruction at the value of approximateshift-invariance [17].

RSR AND RACE

This Section, describes the method of random spray sampling,then introduces Random Spray Retinex (RSR) andRACE, 2 algorithms that utilize same sampling methodology.RACE (crasis of RSR associate degreed ACE) is that the fusion of RSR and anadapted version of Automatic Color exploit (ACE) [23].Random spray sampling was 1st introduced by Provenziet al. [2] as associate degree elaboration over the physical scanning structuresused by Land and McCann within the original Retinex work. So,in order to properly gift them,it's 1st necessary for North American nationto in short summarize the history of Retinex itself.

BRIEF HISTORY OF RETINEX

After the terribly 1st work of 1971 [24] that introduced theRetinex method (crasis of tissue layer and cortex), a later articlebyLand explained and incontestible the Retinex in a very way moredetailed means [25]. In each of these papers all the basicsteps of Retinex had already been established, i.e. the operationon 3 distinct signals and also the ratio-reset mechanism. It isimportant to note

ISSN 2278-3091

International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 249 - 253 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

that the reset mechanism delineated in these 2 works is tightly associated with the analog nature of theinstrumentations used at the time, as a digital implementationcan cypher the response in the least sites at constant time, priorto the quantitative relation. Also, the brink mechanism would be triedless authoritative than originally believed in a very later work byProvenzi et al. [26].In 1983, Frankle and McCann [27] proprietary a really economicalimplementation of Retinex. the foremost attention-grabbing aspects of the work is the multi-resolution nature of the algorithmic program and also theuse of a spiralling path because the sampling pattern.A 1983 article [28], once more by Land alone, introduced 2distinct processes referred to as designators, that he competently namedVersion one and Version a pair of, which severally represent thedynamic and static version of constant method. The termdesignator derives from the very fact that each versions of theprocesses designate some extent in a very 3D house with every set ofstimuli, and every distinct purpose in such house correspondsto a unique colour. The static version of the designator issomewhat resemblant of Retinex however lack the basicreset operation.In specific, Version one is characterised by dynamic interactionsthat happen solely between adjacent cells of a virtualretina, within the kind of additions and subtractions of the indexresponse of the photo-receptors. On the opposite hand,Version a pair of uses static methods connecting totally different areas of associate degreeimage, establishing a relationship victimization the acknowledge quantitative relationchain (without reset). One sentence relating to the latter versionis of specific interest: "The average is taken areas fromthe entire sight view and not simply those nearby; experimentsindicate there is also nearly the maximum amount contribution from distant areas as near ones".In 1986, Land dilated the designator model [29], introducingwhat will be later referred to as the center-surroundprocess. during this specific formulation, a photometer collects"lightness" in keeping with a pattern that resembles a randomspray with aredensity decreasing because the inverse sqof the radius. Afterward, the quantitative relation is computed between theresponse of alittle, central circle (2 degrees within the originalwork) and also the response across the complete pattern. Once more,it is necessary to note that the complete pattern was designed tocover the check Piet Mondrian nearly utterly, a characteristic that is inheritable by random sprays. The acknowledge NASARetinex [30] takes its steps from this specific work, althoughthe area of the surround seems terribly little compared to theone Land used.

PROBLEM OF NOISE

The sharp sampling obligatory by sprays results in the introductionof speckle-like noise with AN unknown distribution.To be additional precise the noise distribution depends on thechosen formula, its parameters, the sprays used and, moreimportantly, the image content. It follows that the applied mathematicsproperties of noise don't seem to be constant over the image support.Theinsurgence of noise had already been partly self-addressed inthe work on RACE [3] by employing a style of attachment tothe original knowledge, thereby powerfully reducing the looks ofspeckles in uniform areas.

PROPOSED METHOD

The main plan behind this work may be summarized asfollows: directional content is what conveys info tothe Human sensory system. This statement is secured by pastresearch, like the Retinex theory additionally because the high-ordergray-world assumption (alias gray-edges) [34]. Specially,the native white patch result delineate by retinex comes intoplay when, for a given channel, the scanning structure samplesa positive intensity amendment. For obvious geometrical reasons,intensity changes of a directional nature area unit a lot of simplycrossed (or sampled) than point-like structures like noise.Following such plan, the projected technique revolves aroundthe shrinkage, per information directivity, of the rifflecoefficients generated by the twin Tree advanced riffle transform. The DTCWT is chosen for the power to differentiatedata orientation in rework area, its relative simplicity andother helpful properties.The HVS has been tried to be a lot of sensitive tochanges in within the achromatic plane (brightness), than chromaticones [35]. Hence, the projected technique 1st convertsthe image in an exceedingly area wherever the saturation is separatedfrom the luma (such as YCbCr), and operates onthe riffle area of the luma

ISSN 2278-3091
International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 249 - 253 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

channel. The selection to useonly the luma channel doesn't cause any visible colourartifact.Finally, an elementary assumption is made: the input imageis considered to be either freed from noise, or contaminated by non perceivable noise. If such associate in nursing assumption holds, the inputimage contains the data required for productive noisereduction.

CONCLUSION

This work presents a noise reduction technique supportedDual Tree complicated riffle rework coefficients shrinkage.The main purpose of novelty is pictured by its applicationin post-processing on the output of a picture improvementmethod (both the non increased image and therefore the increased oneare required) and therefore the lack of assumptions on the applied mathdistribution of noise. On the opposite hand, the non-enhancedimage is meant to be noise-free or plagued by nonperceivable noise.Following accepted properties of the Human VisualSystem, the photographs square measure 1st reborn to a colour housewith distinct chromatic and achromatic axes,then solely theachromatic half becomes object of the noise reduction method.To achieve pleasant denoising, the projected technique exploitsthe data orientation discriminating power of the twin TreeComplex riffle rework to shrink coefficients from the enhanced, creaking image. Invariably per information directivity,the contracted coefficients square measure mixed with those from thenon-enhanced, noise-free image. The output image is thencomputed by inverting the twin Tree complicated riffle rework and the colour rework.Since at the time of writing no directly comparable techniquewas far-famed to the authors, performance was tested in a very rangeof ways, each subjective and objective, each quantitative andqualitative. Subjective take a look at embody a user panel test, and closeinspection of image details. Objective tests embody scanlineanalysis for pictures while not a far-famed previous, and computationof PSNR and SSIM on pictures with a full reference. Theproposed technique produces smart quality output, removingnoise while not fixing the underlying directional structures inthe image. Also, though designed to tackle a high quality drawbackspecific to spray-based image improvement strategies, theproposed approach additionally tried effective on compression andlatent noise delivered to the surface by bar chart effort.The method's main limitations square measure the need of 2 inputimages (one non-enhanced and one enhanced) and its unvaryingnature, that expands computation time significantly withrespect to one-pass algorithms.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Fierro, W.-J. Kyung, and Y.-H. Ha, "Dual-tree complex wavelettransform based denoising for random spray image enahcement methods,"in *Proc. 6th Eur. Conf. Colour Graph., Imag. Vis.*, 2012,pp. 194–199.

[2] E. Provenzi, M. Fierro, A. Rizzi, L. De Carli, D. Gadia, and D. Marini,"Random spray retinex: A new retinex implementation to investigate thelocal properties of the model," vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 162–171, Jan. 2007.

[3] E. Provenzi, C. Gatta, M. Fierro, and A. Rizzi, "A spatially variant whitepatchand gray-world method for colour image enhancement driven bylocal contrast," vol. 30, no. 10, pp. 1757–1770, 2008.

[4] Ø. Kolås, I. Farup, and A. Rizzi, "Spatio-temporal retinex-inspiredenvelope with stochastic sampling: A framework for spatial coloralgorithms," *J. Imag. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 1–10, 2011.

[5] H. A. Chipman, E. D. Kolaczyk, and R. E. McCulloch, "Adaptivebayesian wavelet shrinkage," *J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.*, vol. 92, no. 440,pp. 1413–1421, 1997.

[6] A. Chambolle, R. De Vore, N.-Y. Lee, and B. Lucier, "Nonlinear waveletimage Processing: Variational problems, compression, and noise removalthrough wavelet shrinkage," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 7, no. 3,pp. 319–335, Mar. 1998.

[7] D. Cho, T. D. Bui, and G. Chen, "Image denoising based on waveletshrinkage using neighbor and level dependency," *Int. J. Wavelets, MultiresolutionInf. Process.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 299–311, May 2009.

[8] E. P. Simoncelli and W. T. Freeman, "The steerable pyramid: A flexiblearchitecture for multi-scale derivative computation," in *Proc. 2nd Annu.Int. Conf. Image Process.*, Oct. 1995, pp. 444–447.

[9] F. Rooms, W. Philips, and P. Van Oostveldt, "Integrated approach forestimation and restoration of photon-limited images based on steerablepyramids," in *Proc. 4th EURASIP Conf. Focused Video/Image*

ISSN 2278-3091
International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering, Vol.3 , No.5, Pages : 249 - 253 (2014)
Special Issue of ICACSSE 2014 - Held on October 10, 2014 in St.Ann's College of Engineering & Technology, Chirala, Andhra Pradesh

Process.Multimedia Commun., vol. 1. Jul. 2003, pp. 131–136.

[10] H. Rabbani, "Image denoising in steerable pyramid domain based on alocal laplace prior," *Pattern Recognit.*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 2181–2193,Sep. 2009.

[11] S. Foucher, G. Farage, and G. Benie, "Sar image filtering based onthe stationary contourlet transform," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Geosci. RemoteSens. Symp.*, Jul.–Aug. 2006, pp. 4021–4024.

[12] W. Ni, B. Guo, Y. Yan, and L. Yang, "Speckle suppression for sar images bbased on adaptive shrinkage in contourlet domain," in *Proc. 8th WorldCongr. Intell. Control Autom.*, vol. 2. 2006, pp. 10017–10021.

[13] K. Li, J. Gao, and W. Wang, "Adaptive shrinkage for image denoisingbased on contourlet transform," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. Intell. Inf.Technol. Appl.*, vol. 2. Dec. 2008, pp. 995–999.

[14] Q. Guo, S. Yu, X. Chen, C. Liu, and W. Wei, "Shearlet-based imagedenoising using bivariate shrinkage with intra-band and opposite orientationdependencies," in *Proc. Int. Joint Conf. Comput. Sci. Optim.*,vol. 1. Apr. 2009, pp. 863–866.

[15] X. Chen, C. Deng, and S. Wang, "Shearlet-based adaptive shrinkagethreshold for image denoising," in *Proc. Int. Conf. E-Bus. E-Government*,Nanchang, China, May 2010, pp. 1616–1619.

[16] J. Zhao, L. Lu, and H. Sun, "Multi-threshold image denoising based onshearlet transform," *Appl. Mech. Mater.*, vols. 29–32, pp. 2251–2255,Aug. 2010.

[17] N. G. Kingsbury, "The dual-tree complex wavelet transform: A newtechnique for shift invariance and directional filters," in *Proc. 8th IEEEDigit. Signal Process. Workshop*, Aug. 1998, no. 86, pp. 1–4.

[18] D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Threshold selection for waveletshrinkage of noisy data," in *Proc. 16th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng.Adv., New Opportunities Biomed. Eng. Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.*, vol. 1.Nov. 1994, pp. A24–A25.

[19] W. Freeman and E. Adelson, "The design and use of steerable filters,"*IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 891–906,Sep. 1991.

[20] N. G. Kingsbury, "Image Processing with complex wavelets," *Philos.Trans. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 357, no. 1760, pp. 2543–2560, 1999.

[21] I. W. Selesnick, R. G. Baraniuk, and N. G. Kingsbury, "The dual-treecomplex wavelet transform: A coherent framework for multiscale signaland image Processing," vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 123–151, Nov. 2005.

[22] S. Cai and K. Li. *Matlab Implementation of Wavelet Transforms*.Polytechnic Univ., Brooklyn, NY [Online]. Available:http://eeweb.poly.edu/iselesni/WaveletSoftware/index.html.

AUTHORS:

KathiSyamDaivaKrupa received the **B.Tech(CSE)** from JNTU Kakinada, in 2010&pursuing herM.Tech in Computer Science & Engineering from JNTU Kakinada.

Mr.K.Subbaraois presently working as aAssociate Professor, Dept of Computer Science and Engineering, in St.Ann's College of Engineering and Technology, Chirala.He is persuing**Ph.D.** in Image Processing from JNTUH, He Guided Many UG and PG Students. He has **10 Years Teaching Experience.**